

L&S UPDATE

An e-update to clients from Lakshmikumaran & Sridharan

16 AUGUST 2021

INDIRECT TAX

Area-based exemption – Limitation for filing applications for special rates

UPDATE NO. 23 OF 2021



Lakshmikumaran
& Sridharan
attorneys

exceeding expectations
SINCE 1985





The Gauhati High Court has held that application for fixation of special rate of value addition under Notification No. 17/2008-C.E. as amended by Notification 31/2008-C.E. ought not to have been dismissed by the Department on grounds of limitation when such applications were filed immediately after the decision of the Supreme Court dated 22 April 2020 in the case of *VVF Ltd.*



BRIEF FACTS

- Petitioner was availing benefit of refund of Central Excise duty paid in cash vide Notification No. 32/1999-C.E., dated 8 July 1999. The benefit was thereafter curtailed vide the Amending Notifications to the extent of duty paid on value addition.
- Pursuant to the decision of Supreme Court's decision in *VVF Ltd.* on 22 April 2020, the Petitioner filed seven applications for fixation of special rate of value addition.
- Before the adjudication of the said applications, recovery proceedings were initiated.
- Petitioner challenged the recovery proceedings before the Gauhati High Court in an earlier writ petition. The Gauhati High Court *vide* Order dated 24 March 2021 directed the Principal Commissioner to consider the applications filed by the Petitioner.
- Thereafter, the Principal Commissioner rejected the seven applications on the ground of being time barred, without going into the merits.

ISSUE

Whether applications filed by Petitioner for fixation of special rate of value addition could have been dismissed by the Principal Commissioner on the ground that they were time barred?

DECISION OF THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT

- Once the Amending Notifications were set aside by Gauhati High Court, the requirement to file applications for fixation of special rates only arose after the decision of the Supreme Court in *VVF Ltd.* on 22 April 2020.
- There was no prior occasion at the Petitioner's end, to apply for fixation of special rates as the requirements in the Amending Notifications were ineffective and unimplementable.
- Upholding of the Amending Notifications by the Supreme Court brings in a legal right to apply for special rates.

- The ground of limitation for rejection of the applications by Principal Commissioner was not available to the Department. Specific direction was given to Principal Commissioner to consider the applications on merits.

BACKGROUND

The relevant notifications and litigation history

Notification No. 32/1999-CE dated 08.07.1999 ('**Original Notification**') granted exemption to goods cleared from units located in specified areas in the North Eastern States. The exemption was available by way of refund of the Central Excise Duty paid in cash.

Notification No. 17/2008-CE dated 27.03.2008 [as amended by Notification No. 31/2008-CE dated 10.06.2008] ('**Amending Notifications**') curtailed the benefit under the Original Notification to extent of duty payable on value addition. The Amending Notifications (i) fixed a percentage of refund based on value addition; and (ii) gave the option to the assessee to fix a special rate of refund. The application for fixation of special rate of value addition was to be filed by 30th September of the respective financial year.

Challenge to Amending Notifications

The Amending Notifications were challenged before the Gauhati High Court on the ground that the State was estopped from withdrawing or curtailing a promised benefit. The Amending Notifications were set aside by the Single Judge Bench and Division Judge Bench of Gauhati High Court. However, the Supreme Court reversed the decision of the High Court and held that the amendment in the Notification did not amount to violation of a promise made by the State.

Herbo Foundation v. UOI (24.06.2009)

Single Judge Bench of Gauhati High Court held that Amending Notifications are not sustainable in law.

UOI v. Kamakhya Cosmetics (20.11.2014)

Division Bench of Gauhati High Court dismissed the writ appeal filed by Department and held that Union is estopped from curtailing the benefits.

UOI v. Kamakhya Cosmetics (Interim order 07.12.2015)

The Supreme Court stayed the operation of the Division bench judgment of Gauhati High Court.

Union of India v. VVF Limited (22.4.2020)

The Supreme Court of India held that the Union is not estopped to withdraw or amend a promised benefit. Further, the Court held that the pending refund applications must be decided as per the amended notifications.



L&S Comments:

- Subsequent to the decision of the Supreme Court in *VVF Ltd.*, many assessees had filed applications for fixation of special rate of value addition. This decision provides a much-needed relief to assessees who have filed their applications immediately after the decision of the Supreme Court in *VVF Ltd.*
- Factors such as the time of filing the application, previous communications etc. will be relevant.
- While applicability of the decision has to be seen in the facts of each case, for matters where the applications are rejected on the ground of limitation, the decision of Gauhati High Court should bring relief.

[*Jyothi Labs Ltd. v. Union of India & Ors.* - W.P (C) No. 3569/2021, Order dated 12 August 2021, Gauhati High Court]

LOCATIONS

NEW DELHI

5 Link Road, Jangpura Extension,
New Delhi 110 014
PHONE: 011-4129 9800

B-6/10, Safdarjung Enclave,
New Delhi 110 029
PHONE: 011-4129 9900
E-MAIL: Lsdel@lakshmisri.com

MUMBAI

2nd Floor, CNERGY IT Park,
Old Standard Mill,
Appa Saheb Marathe Marg,
Prabhadevi,
Mumbai 400 025
PHONE: 022-2439 2500
E-MAIL: Lsbom@lakshmisri.com

CHENNAI

2, Wallace Garden, 2nd Street,
Chennai 600 006
PHONE: 044-2833 4700
E-MAIL: Lsmids@lakshmisri.com

BENGALURU

World Trade Center,
No. 404-406, 4th Floor, South Wing,
Brigade Gateway Campus,
No. 26/1 Dr. Rajkumar Road,
Malleswaram West,
Bengaluru 560 055
PHONE: 080-4933 1800
E-MAIL: Lsblr@lakshmisri.com

HYDERABAD

'Hastigiri', 5-9-163, Chapel Road,
Opp. Methodist Church, Nampally,
Hyderabad 500 001
PHONE: 040-2323 4924
E-MAIL: Lshyd@lakshmisri.com

AHMEDABAD

B-334, SAKAR-VII,
Nehru Bridge Corner, Ashram Road,
Ahmedabad 380 009
PHONE: 079-4001 4500
E-MAIL: Lsahd@lakshmisri.com

PUNE

607-609, Nucleus,
1 Church Road, Camp
Pune 411 001
PHONE: 020-6680 1900
E-MAIL: Lspune@lakshmisri.com

KOLKATA

2nd Floor, Kanak Building,
41, Chowringhee Road,
Kolkata 700 071
PHONE: 033-4005 5570
E-MAIL: Lskolkata@lakshmisri.com

CHANDIGARH

SCO No. 59, 1st Floor,
Sector 26, Madhya Marg,
Chandigarh 160 026.
PHONE: 0172-492 1700
E-MAIL: Lschd@lakshmisri.com

GURUGRAM

OS2 & OS3, 5th Floor,
Corporate Office Tower,
AMBIENCE Island, Sector 25-A,
Gurugram 122 001
PHONE: 0124-477 1300
E-MAIL: Lsgurgaon@lakshmisri.com

PRAYAGRAJ (ALLAHABAD)

3/1A/3, (Opp. Auto Sales)
Colvin Road, Lohia Marg,
Prayagraj 211 001
PHONE: 0532-242 1037/242 0359
E-MAIL: Lsallahabad@lakshmisri.com

KOCHI

1st Floor, PDR Bhavan,
Palliyil Lane, Foreshore Road,
Ernakulam, Kochi 682016
PHONE: 0484-486 9018/486 7852
E-MAIL: Lskochi@lakshmisri.com

JAIPUR

2nd Floor (Front side),
Unique Destination, Tonk Road,
Near Laxmi Mandir Cinema Crossing,
Jaipur, Rajasthan 302 015
PHONE: 0141-456 1200
E-MAIL: Lsjaipur@lakshmisri.com

NAGPUR

1st Floor, HRM Design Space,
90-A, Next to Ram Mandir,
Ramnagar, Nagpur 440033
PHONE: +91-712-2959038/2959048
E-MAIL: Lsnagpur@lakshmisri.com

www.lakshmisri.com



**Lakshmikumaran
& Sridharan**
attorneys

exceeding expectations
SINCE 1985

DISCLAIMER: This update is meant for informational purpose only and does not purport to be advice or opinion, legal or otherwise, whatsoever. The information provided is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship and is not for advertising or soliciting. Lakshmikumaran & Sridharan or its associates are not responsible for any error or omission in this update or for any action taken based on its contents.

To unsubscribe, please mail to update@lakshmisri.com

© 2021 Lakshmikumaran & Sridharan. All rights reserved.